

Partment of commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE WAILED:

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | A | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|---|---|------------------|----------------------|-----|----------|---------------------|--|
| | 09/607,21 | 9 06/30/ | 00 KANDA | | А | 15.18/5053 | |
| Г | 024033 | .033 MMC2/1024 T | | ٦ [| E | EXAMINER | |
| | KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP 315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE | | | | QUACH, T | | |
| | SUITE 210 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | BEVERLY H | ILLS CA 90: | 212 | _ | 2814 | \mathcal{A} | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ć. | | 09/607,21 | 09/607,219 | | KANDA, ATSUSHI | | | | | |
| ì | Offic Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | | Tuan Qu | ach | 2814 | | | | | | |
| | Th MAILING DATE of this communicati | on appears on th | cover sheet wit | th th correspondence ad | ldress | | | | | |
| Period f | • • | | | - NTU(0) FD014 | | | | | | |
| THE - External form of the continue of the c | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. In a reply within the statuly period will apply and within the statuly period will apply and within the spylony statute. Cause the apply and within apply statute. | ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirty ill expire SIX (6) MONT lication to become AB/ | uply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | on <u>12 October 200</u> | <u>01</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | • | ☐ This action is | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21 and 44-47 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>22-43</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election r | equirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)🖾 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2000</u> is/a | are: a)⊠ accepted | or b)☐ objected | to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| _ | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The proposed drawing correction filed on | | , | isapproved by the Examin | ier. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | The oath or declaration is objected to by | tne Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority un | ider 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(d) or (t). | | | | | | |
| a) | ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | |
| * (| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | | |
| | a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 5)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | · · | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No nformal Patent Application (PT | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/607,219

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalnitsky taken with Hall et al.

Kanitsky teaches forming interconnect 18 and insulating 20, 39, 24 thereon, forming mask 30, etching the layers including isotropic and anisotropic etching. The provision of underlying insulating layer 12 is also shown. See column 2 line 44 to column 3 line 40. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in practicing the Kanitsky process to have applied it to a bonding pad as delineated in Katnitsky the abstract, and as evidenced by Hall et al., column 2 lines 13-55 evidencing such conventional application of patterning of passivation, e.g., layer 42, over interconnect pattern which functions as bonding pad, column 2 line 41, using desired etching. It would have been obvious and would have been within the purview of one skilled in the art to have selected and optimized the desired film thicknesses and tapered angle given the angle shown in Katnitsky, Fig. 7. Regarding the alternative materials of silicon oxide and silicon oxynitride, such is well known passivation materials as evidenced by Hall et al., column 2 lines 27-43 and their selection of suitable alternative materials thus would have been obvious. The completion of the connection including the provision of a bump

Application/Control Number: 09/607,219

Art Unit: 2814

electrode including a barrier on the exposed bonding pad is well known in the art and thus would have been obvious.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cain teaches semiconductor processes of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Quach whose telephone number is 703-308-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Tuan Quach
Primary Examiner

then